



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/546,227	04/10/2000	Kazuhiko Koike	PM268032	2762

7590 10/03/2002

Nixon & Vanderhye P.C.
Larry S. Nixon
1100 North Glebe Road
8th Floor
Arlington, VA 22201

EXAMINER

JOHNSON, EDWARD M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1754

17

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.
09/546,227

Applicant(s)
KOIKE ET AL.

Examiner
Edward M. Johnson

Art Unit
1754

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 04 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 - (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims are not allowable for reasons already of record. It is argued that the cited prior art inherently discloses a coating because all catalysts are known in the art to include a coating. This is not persuasive because no coating is disclosed, nor does Applicant cite any disclosure within the disclosed prior art product and/o process of making that would indicate the presence of a coating. It is argued that the cited prior art does not disclose a catalyst directly carried on a support. This is not persuasive because Ichii '885 discloses a catalyst and honeycomb catalyst carrier (see column 1, lines 17-19), without coating the carrier (see Examples 1-5). It is argued that Mr. Tanaka's Rule 132 declaration, at paragraphs 4-7, also proves that a catalyst is effective only when the particle size of the catalyst is in a range of 248 nm or smaller. This is not persuasive because Applicant merely points out that "the catalyst is effective" and has various advantages when the particle size is that suggested by the prior art. The fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See Ex parte Obiaya, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Continuation of 10. Other: The IDS filed on 9/4/02 was not considered because, although it was accompanied by the fee, the statement specified in 37 CFR 1.97 (e) was not made.



Stanley S. Silverman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700